THE KINETICS OF **THE REACTIONS OF 2,6-DI-t-BUTYL-4-METHYLPHENOL**

AND 2,4,6-TRIMETHYLPHENOL WITH NITROGEN DIOXIDE IN SOLUTION

Robert G. Coombes* and Andrew W. Diggle Department of Chemistry, The City University, Northampton Square, London EClV OHB

and Stewart P. Kempsell

Shell Research Limited, Thornton Research Centre, P.O. Box No. 1, Chester

Abstract:- The reaction of 2,6-di-t-butyl-4-methylphenol with nitrogen dioxide to form $2,6$ -di-t-butyl-4-methyl-4-nitrocyclohexa-2,5-dienone has a first order dependence of rate on the concentration of the species N_2O_4 . By contrast the observed rate of the corresponding reaction of $2,4,6$ -trimethylphenol is independent of the concentration of nitrogen containing species.

It has been reported previously¹ that nitrogen dioxide reacts with 2,6-dit-butyl-4-methylphenol (1.a) in petroleum spirit over 2 h at room temperature in the absence of air to form 2,6-di-t-butyl-4-methyl-4-nitrocyclohexa-2,5 dienone (2.a). Further reaction over 6 h yielded the cyclohexenone (3) in low yield. A free radical mechanism was proposed for the first stage of this

reaction involving the phenoxy radical formed by hydrogen atom abstraction from $(1.a)$ by the species $NO₀$.

We now wish to report the results of a kinetic study of the first stage of this reaction and of the related reaction of $(1.b)$. Product studies confirmed the original report and also showed that (3) was formed from $(2.a)$ not only by further reaction with nitrogen dioxide but also by the decomposition of (2.a), which gave (3) together with (1.a). Kinetic studies of the initial reaction

were carried out over a range of temperatures in cyclohexane. The nitrogen dioxide is present as a rapidly equilibrating mixture of NO_2^{\dagger} and N_2O_4 and values of the equilibrium constant are known². Reactions were performed with the nitrogen dioxide in an excess (with $\left[N_2O_4\right]$ + $\frac{1}{2}\left[NO_2\right]$ in the range 1 x 10^{-5}
5 x 10^{-4} mol dm⁻³ and with (1.a) in the range (10^{-6} - 5 x 10^{-5} mol dm⁻³)

and were followed by U.V. spectrophotometry, the extinction curves having an isosbestic point at 263 nm. Good first order kinetic plots yielding rate coefficients, k_{obs} , were observed when allowance was made for the subsequent slow decomposition of $(2.a)$. Values of k_{obs} at 298 K are shown in the Figure, (a) plotted against $[N_0O_4]$, and (b) plotted against $[NO_2]$.

It is clear that there is not a first order dependence of rate on $[NO₂]$ but that $k_{obs} \propto [N_2O_4]$. Further studies were performed over the temperature range 283-336 K and at each temperature this relationship was obeyed.

These results could be accommodated in terms of the free radical mechanism only if the first stage were a rapid pre-equilibrium and reaction of the phenoxyl radical with NO_2 was rate limiting. There are recent precedents for such a process being the rate limiting one of a reaction sequence³. would lead to the equation rate α $[NO₂]²$, and is consistent with the experimental observations as $\left[\text{N}_{\text{o}}\text{O}_4\right]$ α $\left[\text{NO}_2\right]^2$. Values of the third order rate coefficients, $k_q = k_{obs} / [N0_q^2]^2$, at various temperatures are, however, given in Table 1. A negative temperature coefficient of reaction

rate (E_{ACT} = -33 kJ mol $^{-1}$) results from these data. Neither step in the free radical mechanism would be expected to contribute to such a result. The reaction of NO_2^{\prime} with a complex of (1.a) with NO_2^{\prime} formed reversibly in small amount⁴ would provide a possible explanation of the kinetic results, if the complex were formed with a negative enthalpy of reaction.

An alternative interpretation would involve a rate limiting reaction between (1.a) and N_2O_4 or some species (other than NO_2) in equilibrium with N_2O_4 . The appropriate second order rate coefficients, $k_2 = k_{obs}/[N_2O_4]$, are also given in Table 1, and the activation energy is 28 kJ $\text{mol}e^{-1}$. Reactions were also studied at higher temperatures (in heptane) in an attempt to see if there was a contribution to the rate from a reaction first order in NO_2 . Such a reaction was detectable above about 342 K and at that temperature the component of reaction first order in NO, gave a second order rate coefficient of 3 dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ indicating its relative insignificance (cf. Table 1).

T/K	$k_3/10^{-5}$ dm ⁶ mol ⁻² s ⁻¹	k_2/dm^3 mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹
283	12	59
293.5	8	100
298	6	108
310	4	180
321	3	260
326	$\bf{2}$	320
331		298
336		400

Table 1 Variation of Rate Coefficients with Temperature (T)

2,4,6-Trimethyl-4-nitrocyclohexa-2,5-dienone (2.b) may be prepared in 88% yield by reaction of nitrogen dioxide with $2,4,6$ -trimethylphenol $(1.b).$ Further reaction with nitrogen dioxide occurs more slowly to produce adducts apparently analogous to (3). However, in surprising contrast to (2.a), (2.b) rearranges slowly at room temperature and rapidly and quantitatively in carbon tetrachloride under reflux to give 2,6-dimethyl-4-nitromethylphenol. This interesting transformation has been noted previously⁵.

The kinetics of the reaction of (1.b) were studied in cyclohexane at 298 K with the results shown in Table 2. In marked contrast to that of $(1.a)$, the reaction rate is effectively independent of the concentration of $\mathtt{N}_2\mathtt{O}_4$

(or NO_n). This behaviour could be explained by an initial rapid reaction resulting in an intermediate species which then reacts slowly to form $(2,b)$. The speed of the reactions, which were followed by stopped-flow spectrophotometry, precludes the accurate determination of the ultraviolet spectrum of this species. It is apparent, however, that the intermediate must have absorptions not too dissimilar to those of the phenol at 240 nm and 280 nm. The difference in behaviour of $(1.a)$ and $(1.b)$ may be due to the steric hindrance offered by the t-butyl groups of $(1.a)$ to the first stage of the reaction.

Table 2

Rates of Reaction of 2,4,6-Trimethylphenol[†] with

Nitrogen Dioxide at 298 K

 † [2,4,6-Trimethylphenol] = 1.0 x 10⁻⁵ mol dm⁻³

References

- 1. G. Brunton, H.W. Cruse, K.M. Riches, and A. Whittle, Tetrahedron Letters, 1979, 1093.
- 2. T.F. Redmond and B.B. Wayland, <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u>, 1968, 72, 1626.
- 3. U. Al-Obaidi and R.B. Moodie, <u>J.C.S. Perkin II</u>, 1985, 467; M. Ali and J.H. Ridd, unpublished observations.
- 4. See discussion in C.J.A. Byrnell, R.G. Coombes, L.S. Whiting, J.C.S. Perkin II, 1983, 1079. Hart and M.C.
- 5. P. Hadjigeorgiou, Ph.D. Thesis, The City University, London, 1979; P. Helsby and J.H. Ridd, J.C.S. Perkin II, 1983, 311; P.C. Myhre, Paper P5.4, R.S.C. Annual Chemical Congress, Exeter, April 1984; G.G. Cross, A. Fischer, G.N. Henderson and T.A. Smyth, Canad. J. Chem., 1984, 1446.

(Received in UK 13 March 1986)

2040